TORMS OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN JOSE DIVISION  SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff, V.  Plaintiff, M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON, et al.,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative motion for an order extending their time to file an answer on behalf of Defendant M. Chavez-  motion for an order extending their time to file an answer on behalf of Defendant M. Chavez-
12 BONNY RAY HARDAWAY, 13 Plaintiff, 14 V. 15 Defendants. 16 M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON, et al., 17 Defendants. 18 Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
13 EDEXSOCATA REFERENCE  4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  10 SAN JOSE DIVISION  11 12 SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  12 Plaintiff, 14 v.  15 Plaintiff, 16 M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON, et al.,  17 Defendants.  18 Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN JOSE DIVISION  SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff, Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE AN ANSWER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON AND A DISPOSITIVE MOTION ON BEHALF OF BOTH DEFENDANTS  Defendants  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN JOSE DIVISION  SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff, v.  Plaintiff, M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON, et al.,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN JOSE DIVISION  SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff, V.  Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE AN ANSWER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON AND A DISPOSITIVE MOTION ON BEHALF OF BOTH DEFENDANTS  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN JOSE DIVISION  SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff,  v.  Plaintiff,  N.  Plaintiff,  N.  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  N.  Plaintiff,  N.  Plaintiff,  OF DEFENDANT M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON AND A DISPOSITIVE MOTION ON BEHALF OF BOTH DEFENDANTS  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN JOSE DIVISION  SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff, V.  Plaintiff, MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE AN ANSWER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON AND A DISPOSITIVE MOTION ON BEHALF OF BOTH DEFENDANTS  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN JOSE DIVISION  SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff, V.  Plaintiff, MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE AN ANSWER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON AND A DISPOSITIVE MOTION ON BEHALF OF BOTH DEFENDANTS  M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON, et al.,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
SAN JOSE DIVISION  SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff,  V.  Plaintiff,  Where the properties of the proper
SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff,  V.  M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON, et al.,  Defendants.  C 12-5459 RMW (PR)  [] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE AN ANSWER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON AND A DISPOSITIVE MOTION ON BEHALF OF BOTH DEFENDANTS  Defendants.
SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff,  V.  M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON, et al.,  Defendants.  C 12-5459 RMW (PR)  [] ORDER GRANTING  MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE  AN ANSWER ON BEHALF OF  DEFENDANT M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON  AND A DISPOSITIVE MOTION ON  BEHALF OF BOTH DEFENDANTS  Defendants.  Defendants.
SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  Notion to Change time to file an Answer on Behalf of Defendants.  Plaintiff,  Notion to Change time to file An Answer on Behalf of Defendant M. Chavez-epperson And A Dispositive Motion on Behalf of Behalf of Both Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
Plaintiff, V.  Plaintiff, MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE AN ANSWER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON AND A DISPOSITIVE MOTION ON BEHALF OF BOTH DEFENDANTS  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
v. AN ANSWER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON AND A DISPOSITIVE MOTION ON BEHALF OF BOTH DEFENDANTS  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
M. CHAVEZ-EPPERSON, et al.,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
Defendants M. Chavez-Epperson and L. Matsuno (Defendants) filed an administrative
motion for an order extending their time to file an answer on behalf of Defendant M. Chavez-
Epperson to thirty days after the Court enters an order on Defendants' pending Motion to Revoke
Plaintiff's <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> Status and Dismiss Case (Three Strikes Motion) (Defs.' Mtn.
Revoke Pl.'s IFP Status and Dismiss, ECF No. 15.), and the time to file a dispositive motion to
sixty days after the Court enters an order on Defendants' pending Three Strikes Motion.
The Court has read and considered Defendants' request and the accompanying declaration
of counsel, and finds good cause exists to grant the request. Accordingly, Defendants' request for
27 an extension of time is GRANTED as follows:
28
Order Granting Defs.' Mot. Change Time File Ans. & Dispositive Mot. (C 12-5459 RMW (PR))

## Case 5:12-cv-05459-RMW Document 26 Filed 07/26/13 Page 2 of 3

1	1. The time for Defendant M. Chavez-Epperson to file an answer is extended to thirty
2	days after the Court enters an order on Defendants' pending Three Strikes Motion; and
3	2. The time for both Defendants to file a dispositive motion is extended to sixty days
4	after the Court enters an order on Defendants' pending Three Strikes Motion. Plaintiff's
5	opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court and served upon Defendants no
6	later than twenty-eight (28) days from the date the dispositive motion is filed. A reply brief shall
7	be filed no later than fourteen (14) days after Plaintiff's opposition is filed.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	$\boldsymbol{\rho}$
10	Dated: The Hon. Ronald M. Whyte
11	The Hon. Ronald M. Whyte
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	SF2013205673 20700367.doc
26	
27	
28	2
	[] Order Granting Defs.' Mot. Change Time File Ans. & Dispositive Mot. (C 12-5459 RMW (PR))

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case Number: CV12-05459 RMW
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on July 26, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Sonny Ray Hardaway P-45579 Kern Valley State Prison PO Box 5102 134-101 Delano, CA 93216

Dated: July 26, 2013

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jackie Lynn Garcia, Deputy Clerk